

The Sun

WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 1894.

Subscription by Mail Post-Paid.
 DAILY, Per Month, \$2.00
 DAILY, Per Year, \$20.00
 SUNDAY, Per Year, \$5.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Year, \$25.00
 DAILY AND SUNDAY, Per Month, \$2.00
 WEEKLY, Per Year, \$10.00
 Foreign Postage Extra.

THE SUN, New York City.

If your friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication wish to have their articles returned, they must in all cases send stamps for that purpose.

Let This Document Be Produced.

The charge is made by Republican newspapers of the standing of the *Philadelphia Press* and the *New York Press* that there is now in the possession of Senator VOORHEES'S Senate Committee on Finance this memorandum in Secretary CARLISLE'S own handwriting:

"On all papers not above No. 16 Dutch standard, and not more than 10 lines, the original manuscript, with original amendments, was left unchanged, instead of original amendments, one cent per page, 40 per cent ad valorem."

The WILSON bill went from the House to the Senate with all sugars on the free list. The proposition which is alleged to have come from the White House to the Senate Finance Committee in the handwriting of the Secretary of the Treasury gives to the Sugar Trust a heavy protective duty on refined sugars. In reading the paragraph printed above, it is necessary to remember that the duty of 45 per cent, ad valorem, which is proposed in place of the duty of one-eighth of one cent per pound, applies not to sugars "not above No. 16 Dutch standard," as at first glance appears, but to the high-grade sugars specified in the printed text which forms part of the Secretary's memorandum, as indicated by the clause in brackets.

Will Senator VOORHEES tell the country whether such a memorandum in Secretary CARLISLE'S handwriting exists?

If it exists, it means that a Democratic Administration is specially exerting itself to promote protectionist legislation for the benefit and immense profit of a great Trust; a Trust of the sort described in the Democratic platform:

"We recognize in the Trusts and Combinations which are engaged to secure monopoly, and to its just share of the joint product of Capital and Labor, a natural consequence of the prohibitive laws which prevent the free competition which is the life of honest trade, and we demand the rigid enforcement of the laws made to prevent and control them, together with such further legislation in restraint of trust abuses as experience may show to be necessary."

Does Mr. CARLISLE hold that such further legislation in restraint of the Trusts should take the shape of a protective duty of forty-five per cent, ad valorem, for the benefit of these Trusts?

Let us know the truth about the alleged sugar memorandum in Mr. CARLISLE'S handwriting.

Mills or Warner?

Is it Senator MILLS or Congressman WARNER that represents honest tariff-reform sentiment and honest Democracy?

MILLS declares that he is disgusted beyond expression with the CLEVELAND Peace-at-any-price bill, but he will vote for it nevertheless, or for any tariff giving even five cents' worth of improvement on McKINLEY rates.

WARNER declares that it would be far better to leave the McKINLEY tariff intact than to saddle the Democracy with the responsibility for such a high protective measure as has been patched up by the Administration and a few Democratic Senators. "It is better," he says, "for us to be punished for not doing anything than for committing what we all know to be a great wrong and a gross injustice upon the people of the United States."

WARNER is right and MILLS is wrong, both morally and politically. Morally, because there can be no honest compromise with fraud and robbery and unconstitutional protection; politically, because it is the climax of folly to vote into existence a tariff which no Democrat can defend.

The MILLS programme is the perpetuation of protection "for the sake of five cents' worth of improvement." The WARNER policy is the preservation of all the advantages of protection for another and a successful attitude under bolder and more honest leadership.

What genuine tariff reformer can hesitate between the two courses? The many words of the young New Yorker ought to make the Texas veteran blush to the roots of his hair.

The Ericsson.

The launch of the Ericsson came just as the navy bill was under consideration which asked for a few new torpedo boats. Her trial trip, which is expected in a few weeks, will also come about the right time, that is to say, while the Senate is thinking of increasing greatly the proposed number of torpedo boats.

The chief point of interest is that here is a war ship built for the Government at a thousand miles from salt water. And, though a product of the interior, it is superior in efficiency the similar craft formerly built by the renowned HERTSHOFF on the coast. The Ericsson is about 11 feet longer than the Cushing, and 8 inches broader, but does not draw quite so much water, her displacement being 120 tons, while that of the Cushing is 116. She is to carry the same number of torpedo tubes and one more rapid-fire gun. Her engines are to develop 1,800 indicated horse power at the maximum against the Cushing's 1,720; and while the guaranteed speed of the Bristol boat was only 22 knots, and her trial trip speed 22½, the Ericsson is to have a contract speed of 24 knots, and is liable to rejection should she make but 23. She costs, it is true, \$113,593 for machinery and hull, against the Cushing's \$92,750; but the point to note is that this first craft of our new steel navy ever built in interior waters is to be the fastest war vessel we have afloat.

In other points, too, besides speed, this Mississippi-built craft is most creditable. As ex-Chief Constructor WILSON held that the radius of action of torpedo boats "is limited rather by the endurance of the crew than by the amount of coal carried in the bunkers," good quarters are provided for both officers and crew. She will be comfortable, also, in another respect, since she receives less motion from the sea than a vessel of the same tonnage built on an intercostal vertical keel and broad, heavy stringer plates. The keel rigidly connects the outer keel plates to the inner flat keelson, and reduces the vibration caused by the engines, which is such a source of fatigue to the crews of many torpedo boats.

The Ericsson discloses to the Congressmen and people of the West that they have a vital, pecuniary interest in the building up of the navy. They share with all other parts of the country the national pride felt in having a ship capable of upholding the

honor of the flag and protecting American rights; they also have a direct material interest in the navy from the fact that their rolling mills and shops have long been engaged in furnishing a part of the machinery that goes into war vessels of various classes; but with the building of a torpedo boat on the upper Mississippi the heart of the country finds a branch of naval construction that it can hope to make to a good extent its own.

And the country as a whole may share the congratulations due to the West in the launch of the Ericsson, because it shows an increase of shipbuilding resources, as well as a wide distribution of employment and profit in this good work among our manufacturing industries. The interior has the advantage of being protected from any possible attack like that which an enemy's fleet might make upon the great shipyards of the seaboard.

Let the West, then, take pride in the Duquesne torpedo boat, not only as a specimen of its handiwork, but as the first fruits of what should become an important branch of the nation's navy. Not only such craft, but torpedo cruisers and light-draught gunboats can be built in the valley of the Mississippi, and floated to the ocean for home or foreign service. Other Western cities, too, may well emulate the example of Duquesne, and among the strongest voices for a new torpedo flotilla to be supplied by the pending navy bill should be those of the Congressmen from the deep interior.

Bring the Platform to the Front!

Every new sign of a disposition on the part of the cuckoo press to recognize the platform of the Democratic party is a good sign. We called attention a few days ago to the sudden awakening of our esteemed contemporary, the *New York Times*, to the fact that Democracy pledged itself in 1892 not to redistribute the atrocities of McKinleyism, but to abolish protection utterly, as unconstitutional, as a fraud on the people, and as robbery of the many for the benefit of the few. Now the *Evening Post* adds still stronger testimony as to the existence of a definite and distinct, and binding promise, by printing for the benefit of its readers the exact language of the tariff plank upon which GROVER CLEVELAND was elected:

"The platform contained the following, which is the true Democratic goal, the only one by which a Democratic government can last in our time without serious danger to property and order:

"We demand the rigid enforcement of the laws which prevent the free competition which is the life of honest trade, and we demand the rigid enforcement of the laws made to prevent and control them, together with such further legislation in restraint of trust abuses as experience may show to be necessary."

Does Mr. CARLISLE hold that such further legislation in restraint of the Trusts should take the shape of a protective duty of forty-five per cent, ad valorem, for the benefit of these Trusts?

Let us know the truth about the alleged sugar memorandum in Mr. CARLISLE'S handwriting.

Mills or Warner?

Is it Senator MILLS or Congressman WARNER that represents honest tariff-reform sentiment and honest Democracy?

MILLS declares that he is disgusted beyond expression with the CLEVELAND Peace-at-any-price bill, but he will vote for it nevertheless, or for any tariff giving even five cents' worth of improvement on McKINLEY rates.

WARNER declares that it would be far better to leave the McKINLEY tariff intact than to saddle the Democracy with the responsibility for such a high protective measure as has been patched up by the Administration and a few Democratic Senators. "It is better," he says, "for us to be punished for not doing anything than for committing what we all know to be a great wrong and a gross injustice upon the people of the United States."

WARNER is right and MILLS is wrong, both morally and politically. Morally, because there can be no honest compromise with fraud and robbery and unconstitutional protection; politically, because it is the climax of folly to vote into existence a tariff which no Democrat can defend.

The MILLS programme is the perpetuation of protection "for the sake of five cents' worth of improvement." The WARNER policy is the preservation of all the advantages of protection for another and a successful attitude under bolder and more honest leadership.

What genuine tariff reformer can hesitate between the two courses? The many words of the young New Yorker ought to make the Texas veteran blush to the roots of his hair.

The Ericsson.

The launch of the Ericsson came just as the navy bill was under consideration which asked for a few new torpedo boats. Her trial trip, which is expected in a few weeks, will also come about the right time, that is to say, while the Senate is thinking of increasing greatly the proposed number of torpedo boats.

The chief point of interest is that here is a war ship built for the Government at a thousand miles from salt water. And, though a product of the interior, it is superior in efficiency the similar craft formerly built by the renowned HERTSHOFF on the coast. The Ericsson is about 11 feet longer than the Cushing, and 8 inches broader, but does not draw quite so much water, her displacement being 120 tons, while that of the Cushing is 116. She is to carry the same number of torpedo tubes and one more rapid-fire gun. Her engines are to develop 1,800 indicated horse power at the maximum against the Cushing's 1,720; and while the guaranteed speed of the Bristol boat was only 22 knots, and her trial trip speed 22½, the Ericsson is to have a contract speed of 24 knots, and is liable to rejection should she make but 23. She costs, it is true, \$113,593 for machinery and hull, against the Cushing's \$92,750; but the point to note is that this first craft of our new steel navy ever built in interior waters is to be the fastest war vessel we have afloat.

In other points, too, besides speed, this Mississippi-built craft is most creditable. As ex-Chief Constructor WILSON held that the radius of action of torpedo boats "is limited rather by the endurance of the crew than by the amount of coal carried in the bunkers," good quarters are provided for both officers and crew. She will be comfortable, also, in another respect, since she receives less motion from the sea than a vessel of the same tonnage built on an intercostal vertical keel and broad, heavy stringer plates. The keel rigidly connects the outer keel plates to the inner flat keelson, and reduces the vibration caused by the engines, which is such a source of fatigue to the crews of many torpedo boats.

The Ericsson discloses to the Congressmen and people of the West that they have a vital, pecuniary interest in the building up of the navy. They share with all other parts of the country the national pride felt in having a ship capable of upholding the

honor of the flag and protecting American rights; they also have a direct material interest in the navy from the fact that their rolling mills and shops have long been engaged in furnishing a part of the machinery that goes into war vessels of various classes; but with the building of a torpedo boat on the upper Mississippi the heart of the country finds a branch of naval construction that it can hope to make to a good extent its own.

And the country as a whole may share the congratulations due to the West in the launch of the Ericsson, because it shows an increase of shipbuilding resources, as well as a wide distribution of employment and profit in this good work among our manufacturing industries. The interior has the advantage of being protected from any possible attack like that which an enemy's fleet might make upon the great shipyards of the seaboard.

Let the West, then, take pride in the Duquesne torpedo boat, not only as a specimen of its handiwork, but as the first fruits of what should become an important branch of the nation's navy. Not only such craft, but torpedo cruisers and light-draught gunboats can be built in the valley of the Mississippi, and floated to the ocean for home or foreign service. Other Western cities, too, may well emulate the example of Duquesne, and among the strongest voices for a new torpedo flotilla to be supplied by the pending navy bill should be those of the Congressmen from the deep interior.

Bring the Platform to the Front!

Every new sign of a disposition on the part of the cuckoo press to recognize the platform of the Democratic party is a good sign. We called attention a few days ago to the sudden awakening of our esteemed contemporary, the *New York Times*, to the fact that Democracy pledged itself in 1892 not to redistribute the atrocities of McKinleyism, but to abolish protection utterly, as unconstitutional, as a fraud on the people, and as robbery of the many for the benefit of the few. Now the *Evening Post* adds still stronger testimony as to the existence of a definite and distinct, and binding promise, by printing for the benefit of its readers the exact language of the tariff plank upon which GROVER CLEVELAND was elected:

"The platform contained the following, which is the true Democratic goal, the only one by which a Democratic government can last in our time without serious danger to property and order:

"We demand the rigid enforcement of the laws which prevent the free competition which is the life of honest trade, and we demand the rigid enforcement of the laws made to prevent and control them, together with such further legislation in restraint of trust abuses as experience may show to be necessary."

Does Mr. CARLISLE hold that such further legislation in restraint of the Trusts should take the shape of a protective duty of forty-five per cent, ad valorem, for the benefit of these Trusts?

Let us know the truth about the alleged sugar memorandum in Mr. CARLISLE'S handwriting.

Mills or Warner?

Is it Senator MILLS or Congressman WARNER that represents honest tariff-reform sentiment and honest Democracy?

MILLS declares that he is disgusted beyond expression with the CLEVELAND Peace-at-any-price bill, but he will vote for it nevertheless, or for any tariff giving even five cents' worth of improvement on McKINLEY rates.

WARNER declares that it would be far better to leave the McKINLEY tariff intact than to saddle the Democracy with the responsibility for such a high protective measure as has been patched up by the Administration and a few Democratic Senators. "It is better," he says, "for us to be punished for not doing anything than for committing what we all know to be a great wrong and a gross injustice upon the people of the United States."

WARNER is right and MILLS is wrong, both morally and politically. Morally, because there can be no honest compromise with fraud and robbery and unconstitutional protection; politically, because it is the climax of folly to vote into existence a tariff which no Democrat can defend.

The MILLS programme is the perpetuation of protection "for the sake of five cents' worth of improvement." The WARNER policy is the preservation of all the advantages of protection for another and a successful attitude under bolder and more honest leadership.

What genuine tariff reformer can hesitate between the two courses? The many words of the young New Yorker ought to make the Texas veteran blush to the roots of his hair.

The Ericsson.

The launch of the Ericsson came just as the navy bill was under consideration which asked for a few new torpedo boats. Her trial trip, which is expected in a few weeks, will also come about the right time, that is to say, while the Senate is thinking of increasing greatly the proposed number of torpedo boats.

The chief point of interest is that here is a war ship built for the Government at a thousand miles from salt water. And, though a product of the interior, it is superior in efficiency the similar craft formerly built by the renowned HERTSHOFF on the coast. The Ericsson is about 11 feet longer than the Cushing, and 8 inches broader, but does not draw quite so much water, her displacement being 120 tons, while that of the Cushing is 116. She is to carry the same number of torpedo tubes and one more rapid-fire gun. Her engines are to develop 1,800 indicated horse power at the maximum against the Cushing's 1,720; and while the guaranteed speed of the Bristol boat was only 22 knots, and her trial trip speed 22½, the Ericsson is to have a contract speed of 24 knots, and is liable to rejection should she make but 23. She costs, it is true, \$113,593 for machinery and hull, against the Cushing's \$92,750; but the point to note is that this first craft of our new steel navy ever built in interior waters is to be the fastest war vessel we have afloat.

In other points, too, besides speed, this Mississippi-built craft is most creditable. As ex-Chief Constructor WILSON held that the radius of action of torpedo boats "is limited rather by the endurance of the crew than by the amount of coal carried in the bunkers," good quarters are provided for both officers and crew. She will be comfortable, also, in another respect, since she receives less motion from the sea than a vessel of the same tonnage built on an intercostal vertical keel and broad, heavy stringer plates. The keel rigidly connects the outer keel plates to the inner flat keelson, and reduces the vibration caused by the engines, which is such a source of fatigue to the crews of many torpedo boats.

The Ericsson discloses to the Congressmen and people of the West that they have a vital, pecuniary interest in the building up of the navy. They share with all other parts of the country the national pride felt in having a ship capable of upholding the

honor of the flag and protecting American rights; they also have a direct material interest in the navy from the fact that their rolling mills and shops have long been engaged in furnishing a part of the machinery that goes into war vessels of various classes; but with the building of a torpedo boat on the upper Mississippi the heart of the country finds a branch of naval construction that it can hope to make to a good extent its own.

And the country as a whole may share the congratulations due to the West in the launch of the Ericsson, because it shows an increase of shipbuilding resources, as well as a wide distribution of employment and profit in this good work among our manufacturing industries. The interior has the advantage of being protected from any possible attack like that which an enemy's fleet might make upon the great shipyards of the seaboard.

Let the West, then, take pride in the Duquesne torpedo boat, not only as a specimen of its handiwork, but as the first fruits of what should become an important branch of the nation's navy. Not only such craft, but torpedo cruisers and light-draught gunboats can be built in the valley of the Mississippi, and floated to the ocean for home or foreign service. Other Western cities, too, may well emulate the example of Duquesne, and among the strongest voices for a new torpedo flotilla to be supplied by the pending navy bill should be those of the Congressmen from the deep interior.

Bring the Platform to the Front!

Every new sign of a disposition on the part of the cuckoo press to recognize the platform of the Democratic party is a good sign. We called attention a few days ago to the sudden awakening of our esteemed contemporary, the *New York Times*, to the fact that Democracy pledged itself in 1892 not to redistribute the atrocities of McKinleyism, but to abolish protection utterly, as unconstitutional, as a fraud on the people, and as robbery of the many for the benefit of the few. Now the *Evening Post* adds still stronger testimony as to the existence of a definite and distinct, and binding promise, by printing for the benefit of its readers the exact language of the tariff plank upon which GROVER CLEVELAND was elected:

"The platform contained the following, which is the true Democratic goal, the only one by which a Democratic government can last in our time without serious danger to property and order:

"We demand the rigid enforcement of the laws which prevent the free competition which is the life of honest trade, and we demand the rigid enforcement of the laws made to prevent and control them, together with such further legislation in restraint of trust abuses as experience may show to be necessary."

Does Mr. CARLISLE hold that such further legislation in restraint of the Trusts should take the shape of a protective duty of forty-five per cent, ad valorem, for the benefit of these Trusts?

Let us know the truth about the alleged sugar memorandum in Mr. CARLISLE'S handwriting.

Mills or Warner?

Is it Senator MILLS or Congressman WARNER that represents honest tariff-reform sentiment and honest Democracy?

MILLS declares that he is disgusted beyond expression with the CLEVELAND Peace-at-any-price bill, but he will vote for it nevertheless, or for any tariff giving even five cents' worth of improvement on McKINLEY rates.

WARNER declares that it would be far better to leave the McKINLEY tariff intact than to saddle the Democracy with the responsibility for such a high protective measure as has been patched up by the Administration and a few Democratic Senators. "It is better," he says, "for us to be punished for not doing anything than for committing what we all know to be a great wrong and a gross injustice upon the people of the United States."

WARNER is right and MILLS is wrong, both morally and politically. Morally, because there can be no honest compromise with fraud and robbery and unconstitutional protection; politically, because it is the climax of folly to vote into existence a tariff which no Democrat can defend.

The MILLS programme is the perpetuation of protection "for the sake of five cents' worth of improvement." The WARNER policy is the preservation of all the advantages of protection for another and a successful attitude under bolder and more honest leadership.

What genuine tariff reformer can hesitate between the two courses? The many words of the young New Yorker ought to make the Texas veteran blush to the roots of his hair.

The Ericsson.

The launch of the Ericsson came just as the navy bill was under consideration which asked for a few new torpedo boats. Her trial trip, which is expected in a few weeks, will also come about the right time, that is to say, while the Senate is thinking of increasing greatly the proposed number of torpedo boats.

The chief point of interest is that here is a war ship built for the Government at a thousand miles from salt water. And, though a product of the interior, it is superior in efficiency the similar craft formerly built by the renowned HERTSHOFF on the coast. The Ericsson is about 11 feet longer than the Cushing, and 8 inches broader, but does not draw quite so much water, her displacement being 120 tons, while that of the Cushing is 116. She is to carry the same number of torpedo tubes and one more rapid-fire gun. Her engines are to develop 1,800 indicated horse power at the maximum against the Cushing's 1,720; and while the guaranteed speed of the Bristol boat was only 22 knots, and her trial trip speed 22½, the Ericsson is to have a contract speed of 24 knots, and is liable to rejection should she make but 23. She costs, it is true, \$113,593 for machinery and hull, against the Cushing's \$92,750; but the point to note is that this first craft of our new steel navy ever built in interior waters is to be the fastest war vessel we have afloat.

In other points, too, besides speed, this Mississippi-built craft is most creditable. As ex-Chief Constructor WILSON held that the radius of action of torpedo boats "is limited rather by the endurance of the crew than by the amount of coal carried in the bunkers," good quarters are provided for both officers and crew. She will be comfortable, also, in another respect, since she receives less motion from the sea than a vessel of the same tonnage built on an intercostal vertical keel and broad, heavy stringer plates. The keel rigidly connects the outer keel plates to the inner flat keelson, and reduces the vibration caused by the engines, which is such a source of fatigue to the crews of many torpedo boats.

The Ericsson discloses to the Congressmen and people of the West that they have a vital, pecuniary interest in the building up of the navy. They share with all other parts of the country the national pride felt in having a ship capable of upholding the

honor of the flag and protecting American rights; they also have a direct material interest in the navy from the fact that their rolling mills and shops have long been engaged in furnishing a part of the machinery that goes into war vessels of various classes; but with the building of a torpedo boat on the upper Mississippi the heart of the country finds a branch of naval construction that it can hope to make to a good extent its own.

And the country as a whole may share the congratulations due to the West in the launch of the Ericsson, because it shows an increase of shipbuilding resources, as well as a wide distribution of employment and profit in this good work among our manufacturing industries. The interior has the advantage of being protected from any possible attack like that which an enemy's fleet might make upon the great shipyards of the seaboard.

Let the West, then, take pride in the Duquesne torpedo boat, not only as a specimen of its handiwork, but as the first fruits of what should become an important branch of the nation's navy. Not only such craft, but torpedo cruisers and light-draught gunboats can be built in the valley of the Mississippi, and floated to the ocean for home or foreign service. Other Western cities, too, may well emulate the example of Duquesne, and among the strongest voices for a new torpedo flotilla to be supplied by the pending navy bill should be those of the Congressmen from the deep interior.

Bring the Platform to the Front!

Every new sign of a disposition on the part of the cuckoo press to recognize the platform of the Democratic party is a good sign. We called attention a few days ago to the sudden awakening of our esteemed contemporary, the *New York Times*, to the fact that Democracy pledged itself in 1892 not to redistribute the atrocities of McKinleyism, but to abolish protection utterly, as unconstitutional, as a fraud on the people, and as robbery of the many for the benefit of the few. Now the *Evening Post* adds still stronger testimony as to the existence of a definite and distinct, and binding promise, by printing for the benefit of its readers the exact language of the tariff plank upon which GROVER CLEVELAND was elected:

"The platform contained the following, which is the true Democratic goal, the only one by which a Democratic government can last in our time without serious danger to property and order:

"We demand the rigid enforcement of the laws which prevent the free competition which is the life of honest trade, and we demand the rigid enforcement of the laws made to prevent and control them, together with such further legislation in restraint of trust abuses as experience may show to be necessary."

Does Mr. CARLISLE hold that such further legislation in restraint of the Trusts should take the shape of a protective duty of forty-five per cent, ad valorem, for the benefit of these Trusts?

Let us know the truth about the alleged sugar memorandum in Mr. CARLISLE'S handwriting.

Mills or Warner?

Is it Senator MILLS or Congressman WARNER that represents honest tariff-reform sentiment and honest Democracy?

MILLS declares that he is disgusted beyond expression with the CLEVELAND Peace-at-any-price bill, but he will vote for it nevertheless, or for any tariff giving even five cents' worth of improvement on McKINLEY rates.

WARNER declares that it would be far better to leave the McKINLEY tariff intact than to saddle the Democracy with the responsibility for such a high protective measure as has been patched up by the Administration and a few Democratic Senators. "It is better," he says, "for us to be punished for not doing anything than for committing what we all know to be a great wrong and a gross injustice upon the people of the United States."

WARNER is right and MILLS is wrong, both morally and politically. Morally, because there can be no honest compromise with fraud and robbery and unconstitutional protection; politically, because it is the climax of folly to vote into existence a tariff which no Democrat can defend.

The MILLS programme is the perpetuation of protection "for the sake of five cents' worth of improvement." The WARNER policy is the preservation of all the advantages of protection for another and a successful attitude under bolder and more honest leadership.

What genuine tariff reformer can hesitate between the two courses? The many words of the young New Yorker ought to make the Texas veteran blush to the roots of his hair.

The Ericsson.

The launch of the Ericsson came just as the navy bill was under consideration which asked for a few new torpedo boats. Her trial trip, which is expected in a few weeks, will also come about the right time, that is to say, while the Senate is thinking of increasing greatly the proposed number of torpedo boats.

The chief point of interest is that here is a war ship built for the Government at a thousand miles from salt water. And, though a product of the interior, it is superior in efficiency the similar craft formerly built by the renowned HERTSHOFF on the coast. The Ericsson is about 11 feet longer than the Cushing, and 8 inches broader, but does not draw quite so much water, her displacement being 120 tons, while that of the Cushing is 116. She is to carry the same number of torpedo tubes and one more rapid-fire gun. Her engines are to develop 1,800 indicated horse power at the maximum against the Cushing's 1,720; and while the guaranteed speed of the Bristol boat was only 22 knots, and her trial trip speed 22½, the Ericsson is to have a contract speed of 24 knots, and is liable to rejection should she make but 23. She costs, it is true, \$113,593 for machinery and hull, against the Cushing's \$92,750; but the point to note is that this first craft of our new steel navy ever built in interior waters is to be the fastest war vessel we have afloat.

In other points, too, besides speed, this Mississippi-built craft is most creditable. As ex-Chief Constructor WILSON held that the radius of action of torpedo boats "is limited rather by the endurance of the crew than by the amount of coal carried in the bunkers," good quarters are provided for both officers and crew. She will be comfortable, also, in another respect, since she receives less motion from the sea than a vessel of the same tonnage built on an intercostal vertical keel and broad, heavy stringer plates. The keel rigidly connects the outer keel plates to the inner flat keelson, and reduces the vibration caused by the engines, which is such a source of fatigue to the crews of many torpedo boats.

The Ericsson discloses to the Congressmen and people of the West that they have a vital, pecuniary interest in the building up of the navy. They share with all other parts of the country the national pride felt in having a ship capable of upholding the

\$200,000 FOR THE GERRY SOCIETY.

The Board of Estimates and Apportionment yesterday afternoon called the attention of the Board to the recently passed directing the Board to appropriate \$200,000 a year for the support of the Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Children. As four months of the present year had gone by, Mr. Gerry said he would be satisfied with \$200,000 for the rest of 1894. Mayor GILROY moved that the Comptroller be authorized to issue \$200,000 of revenue bonds whenever necessary, the money to be turned over to Mr. Gerry as he required it. This was adopted.

The Park Department, represented by President Charles, again called the Board for money. Mr. Charles said that the design of the new park, which was to be built on Morris Park, \$100,000 on covering the walks with asphalt and \$10,000 for the entrance, for the latter was to be a board of 100 feet by 50 feet. The Board of Estimates decided to appropriate the necessary \$110,000.

Commissioner of Public Works called the Board to order to pay to the Board of Estimates the sum of \$100,000 for the new park, which was to be built on Morris Park, \$100,000 on covering the walks with asphalt and \$10,000 for the entrance, for the latter was to be a board of 100 feet by 50 feet. The Board of Estimates decided to appropriate the necessary \$110,000.

AGAINST THE TARIFF FRAUD.

The Democrats say No Tariff Bill Rather Than the Populist Income Tax.

The People would rather have the McKinley law without an income tax than the Wilson bill with one.

From the Rochester Union and Advertiser.

As between the Tariff bill as it now stands in the Senate with the income tax attached, and the McKinley law as it now stands in the House, the people would rather have the McKinley law without an income tax than the Wilson bill with one.

A Man with a Hottish System.

To the Editor of the SUN:—Sir: Kindly permit me to state, "against the tariff," my opinion in regard to "systems," so strenuously condemned by Mr. H. Thompson. Mr. Thompson's remarks contain a covert appeal to the credulous. As to the doubtful appeal to him, where he states, "I have not seen the tariff," it is a mere appeal to the credulous. It is a mere appeal to the credulous. It is a mere appeal to the credulous.

Every Northern and Eastern Senator and Representative should take a firm stand against the encroachments of the income taxers, and, if necessary, even place the defeat of the Tariff bill between their constituents and the danger that threatens them.